

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,236	BUDDENBERG ET AL.	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.

(3) ____.

(2) Daniel J. Pereira.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 6 February 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 9/25/08

Claims discussed:

1,5,10, and 23

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 23 and cancel claims 5 and 10, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of pages 4 and 7 of the instant specification, and the results shown in the instant Examples.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*/Peter A. Hruskoci/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797*

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

